

Table of Contents

	<i>pag.</i>
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	XI
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	XIII

INTRODUCTION

1. Shareholder Claims in International Law	1
2. The Deep Roots of the Problem: The Legal Position of the Shareholders and the Protection of Their Capital	4
3. The <i>Barcelona Traction</i> Case and the Transposition of Domestic Rules to the International Legal System	10
4. The Emergence of Treaty Regimes Affording Protection to Shareholders: International Human Rights and Investment Law	16
5. The Purpose and Scope of this Book	21

Chapter One

SETTING THE SCENE: SHAREHOLDER CLAIMS IN DOMESTIC LAW

1. The Need to Look at Municipal Law: An Introduction	27
2. First Things First: Corporations in Municipal Legal Orders	29
3. The Protection of Shareholders <i>vis-à-vis</i> Measures Affecting Their Own Rights	32
4. The Protection of Shareholders <i>vis-à-vis</i> Measures Infringing Upon the Corporation	37
4.1. The 'No Reflective Loss' Principle: A Rather Coherent Approach in Municipal Law	38
4.1.1. A Survey of Civil Law Countries	38
4.1.2. A Survey of Common Law Countries	44
4.1.3. Making Order of the Arguments: Why Are Reflective Loss Claims Barred? A Critical Appraisal	49

	<i>pag.</i>
4.1.4. Confirming the Policy Approach to Reflective Loss Claims: Some Exceptions in Municipal Law	55
4.2. Derivative Actions in Municipal Law: A Corporate-Oriented Solution	59
4.2.1. A Survey of Civil Law Countries	61
4.2.2. A Survey of Common Law Countries	63
4.2.3. Assessment: The Inexistence of a General Model and the Limited Scope of Derivative Actions	66
5. Brief Comparative Remarks on the Protection of Shareholders in Municipal Legal Systems	66

Chapter Two

SHAREHOLDER CLAIMS UNDER GENERAL INTERNATIONAL LAW: THE RELEVANCE OF DOMESTIC LAW

1. Introduction	69
2. The Early Case Law on Shareholder Claims in the International Legal Order	74
3. The <i>Barcelona Traction</i> Case: Establishing the Rule under General International Law	85
3.1. ... and its Exceptions	87
3.2. The Transposition of Domestic Rules to the International Legal System	90
3.3. The Distinction between Direct Rights and Interests of the Shareholders: A Reasoned Approach?	98
4. The <i>Elettronica Sicula S.p.A.</i> Case: Anything More Than a Treaty Issue?	103
4.1. Against the Narrative which Excludes the Relevance of the <i>ELSI</i> Judgment for the Determination of General International Law	106
4.2. Some Insights on What States Can and Cannot Do <i>vis-à-vis</i> Shareholder Protection	108
5. The 2006 ILC Articles on Diplomatic Protection: Between Codification and Progressive Development of International Law	109
6. The <i>Diallo</i> Case: Confirming the <i>Barcelona Traction</i> Rule	115
6.1. The Treatment of Investment Arbitration Case Law before the International Court of Justice	117
6.2. A Critical Appraisal of the Rule Restatement by the International Court of Justice in Light of the Articles on Diplomatic Protection	119
7. Shareholder Claims under General International Law: Some Conclusive Remarks	121

Chapter Three

SHAREHOLDER CLAIMS IN INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS LAW:
DOMESTIC LAW IS STILL THERE

1. Introduction	125
2. The Protection of Shareholders under the European Convention on Human Rights	126
2.1. The Relevant Framework: Article 34 of the European Convention on Human Rights and Article 1 of Protocol No. 1	126
2.2. The Protection of Shareholders against Measures Affecting Their Direct Rights	130
2.3. The Protection of Shareholders against Measures Infringing Upon Their Corporations	132
2.3.1. The <i>Agrotexim</i> Case: The Making of the Rule concerning Reflective Loss	133
2.3.2. Piercing the Corporate Veil before the Strasbourg Court: Carving out the Exceptions	135
2.3.3. The Rule and its Exceptions: A Clash of Rationales	140
3. The Protection of Shareholders under the American Convention on Human Rights	147
3.1. The Relevant Framework: Articles 1 and 21 of the American Convention on Human Rights	147
3.2. The Protection of Shareholders against Measures Affecting Their Direct Rights	149
3.3. The Protection of Shareholders against Measures Infringing Upon Their Corporations	151
3.3.1. A Critical Appraisal of the Case Law of Inter-American Monitoring Bodies: Establishing the General Rule	151
3.3.2. ... and its Exceptions: A Rather Cherry-Picking Approach?	154
3.3.3. <i>Granier v. Venezuela</i> : Testing the Rule and its Exceptions	158
3.3.4. The Lack of Any Consistent Test to Allow Identification Claims: Some Remarks on the Inter-American System	161
4. The Protection of Shareholders under the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights	163
4.1. A Complex Legal Framework: Juridical Persons as non-Right Holders and the Lack of Any Entitlement to the Protection of Private Property	163
4.2. The Protection of Shareholders in Matters of Other Rights: Some Possible Insights as to the Relationship with Their Corporations	165
4.3. The Admissibility of Identification Claims in the Practice of the Human Rights Committee	168
5. The Protection of Shareholders in International Human Rights Law: Conclusive Remarks	171

Chapter Four

SHAREHOLDER CLAIMS IN INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT LAW:
THE LOSS OF RELEVANCE OF DOMESTIC LAW

1. Introduction	175
2. The Loose Structure of International Investment Law: Brief Remarks	177
3. The Legal Standing of Shareholders in International Investment Law	180
3.1. Shares as Protected Investments under International Investment Agreements	180
3.2. Indirect Investments under International Investment Agreements	187
3.3. Shareholders <i>qua</i> Protected Investors under International Investment Agreements	198
4. Shareholder Claims for Reflective Loss as the General Rule in International Investment Arbitration	202
4.1. International Investment Agreements on Reflective Loss Claims	203
4.2. The Case Law of Investment Tribunals on Reflective Loss Claims	206
4.3. Shareholder Claims for Reflective Loss in Investment Jurisprudence: A Critical Appraisal	213
5. The Current Ungovernability of Shareholder Claims for Reflective Loss in International Investment Arbitration	216
5.1. The <i>CME/Lauder</i> , <i>CMS/Total</i> and <i>Blusun/Eskosol</i> Sagas: Parallel Proceedings and Conflicting Outcomes	217
5.2. <i>Kappes and Kappes v. Guatemala</i> : Derivative or Reflective Loss Claims? The Choice Is Up to the Investors	219
5.3. Indirect Investments and the Exponential Multiplication of Claims	221
6. The International Law Tools to Address the Conundrum of Shareholder Claims for Reflective Loss: An Attempt to Leave the System Unchanged	222
7. Treaty-Drafting Solutions to Reshape Shareholder Claims in Investment Arbitration: A Possible Way Forward	227
8. Rethinking the Protection of Shareholders in International Investment Law: Conclusive Remarks	231
GENERAL CONCLUSIONS	233
BIBLIOGRAPHY	239
TABLE OF CASES	261